

# **SUGGESTION EVALUATION FORM**

## EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION PROGRAM USE ONLY. EVALUATING AGENCY ESP COORDINATOR PLEASE COMPLETE PART I:

#### PART I.

Suggestion #:	ggestion #: Suggestion Topic/Category Code (Table Attached):		Date Received:
Evaluating Agency Code/Title: Evaluating		Evaluating Unit:	Date Sent to Evaluator:
TO (evaluator):			
INSTRUCTIONS:			
1. Please use thi	s form to evaluate the	e attached suggestion: Type or prin	t clearly in black ink.
<ol><li>For assistance Coordinator.</li></ol>	e, call Agency ESP	Name:	Phone:
	e, and return signed f		
Agency ESP (	Coordinator by	Date:	_
PART II REVI	EW OF SUGGESTION	VS	
1. If any of the fo	ollowing apply to this	suggestion, indicate which and expl	ain.
B. Involv	B. Involves pay practices.		
C. Unclear, incomplete, or not specific.			
D. Concerns established procedures not being followed.			
E. Conce	erns result or subject	of studies, audits, surveys, etc.	

Revised 8/2003 1/7

2.	To your knowledge, does this suggestion accurately describe the current situation, condition, method, procedure, etc., in Section II of the Employee Suggestion Form?		
	Yes No	If No, what is the actual current situation?	
3.	To your knowledge, has management?	this suggestion previously been proposed/considered by agency	
	Yes No	If Yes, what action was taken? (Supporting documentation pre-dating suggestion should be available on request.)	
4.	-	nis suggestion stimulate other savings/improvement activities?	
	Yes No	If Yes, describe action stimulated.	
Ar	nalysis and Recor	nmendation	
1.	Do you recommend that	t this suggestion be adopted and implemented?	
	Yes No	Please explain your recommendation.	
2.	Please note issues that	would need to be considered in order to implement this suggestion.	

Revised 8/2003 **2/1** 

3.			
	Yes No		
	If Yes, would you support such action? Yes No		
	166 146		
4.	What benefits can be derived from this suggest	ion?	
	Tangible Intangible N		
	If you believe that benefits would derive from in Part III.	nplementing this suggestion, please complete	
5.	What agencies could benefit from implementing	g this suggestion?	
	All		
	Categories or names of agencies:		
Sig	ned:	This evaluation complies with the policies of the Employee Suggestion Program, signed:	
(Signature of Evaluator)		(Signature of Agency ESP Coordinator)	
	(Print Name)	(Print Name)	
	(Date)	(Date)	
I co	oncur with this evaluation of the suggestion.		
(5	Signature of Supervisor/Manager of Evaluator)		
	(Print Name)		
	(Data)		
(Date)			

Revised 8/2003 **3/1** 

## PART III. CALCULATION OF BENEFITS - RECOMMENDED SUGGESTIONS ONLY

SECTION A – DETERMINATION OF TANGIBLE BENEFITS	
Item One I agree that implementing this suggestion will result in financial earnings or savings.	
Yes No If <i>No</i> , please explain.	
Tes No II No, please explain.	
<u>Item Two</u>	
I agree with the suggester's estimate of money earned or saved.	
Yes No If <i>No</i> , please explain.	
IF THE SUGGESTION WILL RESULT IN FINANCIAL EARNINGS OF	OR SAVINGS.
PLEASE FORWARD THIS FORM TO YOUR AGENCY'S FISCAL	•
COMPLETION OF SECTION C.	
SECTION B – DETERMINATION OF INTANGIBLE BENEFITS	
Complete this Section only if the suggestion is recommended for adoption AND the	answer to Item
One in Section A is "No." Points total determines the number of days of leave to be	awarded.
FACTORO	POINTS
FACTORS	<u>AWARDED</u>
Degree of improvement in operations, forms, facilities or equipment	
None 0 Pts.	
Minor	
Moderate	Pts.
1VIAJO1 20 1 t3.	1 1.5.
2. Degree of improvement in employee relations, working conditions, safety, service to the public or public attitude:	
None 0 Pts.	
Minor 5 Pts.	
Moderate	
Major 20 Pts.	Pts.

Revised 8/2003 4/7

	FACTORS		POINTS <u>AWARDED</u>
3.	Extent of application:		
	Single operation, facility, office	0 Pts.	
	Several operations, facilities, offices	5 Pts.	
	A majority of the employees, facilities, divisions of an agency or university	10 Pts.	
	A majority of the employees, facilities, divisions of two or more agencies	15 Pts.	
	Statewide (most agencies, universities)	20 Pts.	Pts.
4.	Completeness of proposal:		
	Not completely or clearly presented or required considerable clarification	0 Pts.	
	Basic facts sound, needed some refining	5 Pts.	
	Facts clearly presented, little further effort required to put idea into effect	10 Pts.	
	Facts clearly presented, no further effort required to put idea into effect	20 Pts.	Pts.
5.	Effort involved:		
	No research involved	0 Pts.	
	Average substantiation	5 Pts.	
	Considerable personal research	15 Pts.	Pts.
6.	Cost of adoption:		
	Large	0 Pts.	
	Moderate	5 Pts.	
	Small	10 Pts.	Pts.
		TOTAL POINTS:	Pts.

Revised 8/2003 **5/7** 

SECTION C – COMPUTATION OF DOLLAR SAVINGS (To be completed by Fiscal Officer.)						
	GESTION SAVINGS DI Labor Supplie Space Equipm	JE TO CHANGES IN: es Revenue nent Materials	Energy Usa Maintenanc	ge e Procedure		Other (specify)
COM		S (compare two [2] twel	ve-month periods	•		
	Old Me			Suggeste		
Start	ing Date:	Ending Date:	Starting Date:	Starting Date:		g Date:
that I	To project dollar savings or revenue expected from implementing this suggestion, complete the section below that best represents savings that would realistically result from the suggestion. NOTE: This estimate is for use in evaluating the suggestion. Any award to the suggester will be based on actual first-year results.					estimate is for use
cos	T SAVINGS CALCULA	TIONS				
Α.		Cost of Old Method: asure (hours, tons, miles, X Cost Per Unit			=	
	Number of Units Per Year		Other Cost	` ' '	Ar	nnual Cost of Old Method
В.	Use same units of mea	Cost of Suggested Measure as in old method.  X  Cost Per Unit		s (explain)		rojected Annual ost of Suggested Method
C.						
	(1) Cap	ital Items	(2) Cost	(3) Years o	of Life	(First-Year Cost)
		<del>-</del>				
		Total Cost:				
D.	[	Calculation: nues of old system for firs -	st 12-month period	of implemen	<b>=</b>	
	Revenue per Unit – Suggested	Revenue per Unit — Old	Unit per Suggeste	Year – d Method	Inc	reased Revenue
E.	First-Year Savings	Calculation: – [	+	1	=	
-	Annual Cost – Old Method	Annual Cost – Suggested Method	Cost to Imp Minus Re		Pro	ojected Net First- Year Savings
			This computation reasonable and a budget policies.			represents dherence to State
			Signed:			Date:
		·	-	ating Agency	/ Fiscal	Officer)

Revised 8/2003 **6/7** 

## PART IV. DHRM'S RECOMMENDATION

This suggestion will be sent to agency(ies) to be implemented. The employee should receive the following award:					
Tangible	revenue for first 12	sed on actual savings or months of implementation, revenue if realized in less			
Intangible	Days of Leave*				
*Based on the following scale:	Points 89-105 71-88 53-70 35-52 18-34 Less than 18	Day of Leave 5 Days 4 Days 3 Days 2 Days 1 Day None			
Comments:					
0:					
Signed: Date: Date:					

Revised 8/2003 1/1

#### **ESP CATEGORY CODE TABLE**

*CODE	CATEGORY DESCRIPTION
01	ACCOUNTING AND BUDGETING
02	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESSES
03	BENEFITS
04	BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS
05	BUSINESSS OPERATIONS AND PROCEDURES
06	COMMUNICATIONS, PUBLICATIONS
07	ENERGY, ENVIRONMENT
08	FORMS, AUTOMATED AND PAPER
09	HUMAN RESOURCES
10	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
11	LEGAL REQUIREMENTS
12	METHODS AND EFFICIENCY
13	PARKING
14	POLICY
15	PURCHASING AND CONTRACTING
16	REVENUE
17	SAFETY, SECURITY, HAZARD REDUCTION
18	SERVICE OR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT
19	SUPPLIES OR EQUIPMENT
20	TRAVEL REGULATIONS
21	WASTE, RECYCLING
22	WORKPLACE CONDITIONS
23	NO CATEGORY ASSIGNED

<sup>\*</sup>Agency ESP Coordinators: Please categorize suggestion in the most specific suitable category, noting code on Suggestion Evaluation Form.